



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,227	04/06/2006	John Elvesjo	2333-I41	5664
23117	7590	03/11/2009	EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			GREECE, JAMES R	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			2873	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/11/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/535,227	ELVESJO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAMES R. GREECE	2873

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JAMES R. GREECE.

(3) Ricky Mack, SPE AU2873.

(2) Robert Molan.

(4) Johan Bouvin.

Date of Interview: 05 March 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Eye tracking device demonstration of capabilities and technical specifications, amendment overcome the rejection of claim 1.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hutchinson US 6,152,563.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant conducted a technical demonstration, provided a proposed amendment to claim 1, and outlined the differences between the Hutchinson reference and the proposed claimed amendment. Examiner indicated that proposed amendment would overcome 102 rejection based on Hutchinson reference..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/James R Greece/
Examiner, Art Unit 2873

/Ricky L. Mack/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2873